

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/636,161	08/10/2000	SHUMIN WANG	98124X205487	6517
29050	7590 08/23/2002			
PHYLLIS T. TURNER-BRIM, ESQ., LAW DEPARTMENT CABOT MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION 870 NORTH COMMONS DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T	
AURORA, I	L 60504		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Ac

Application No. 09/636,161	Applicant(s) WANG ET AL.	5%
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lynette T. Umez-Eronini	1765	

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) In the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
ļ	1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
ĺ	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
İ	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
l	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
ŀ	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: 32.
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27 and 33-35</u>
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .
	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the xaminer.
	9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8.
	10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>
	ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER
•	S. Patent and Trademark Office
٠.	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In Claim 1 (see ended), "... diphosphonic acids, tri-phosphonic acids, poly-phosphonic acids, phosphonacetic acids... aminoethylethanolamine, polyethyleneimine... at least one passivation film forming agent, and (v)..." and in Claim 24 (Amended), "... is selected from the group consisting of 1,2,3-triazole,... or alkyl-substituted derivatives thereof." raise new issues that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed January 17, 2002 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because Reference No. AS - WO 00/77107 lacks an English translation. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 C(1)...